

Language and Power: Analyzing Discourse and Communication Dynamics in Political and Social Contexts

Bahasa dan Kekuasaan: Menganalisis Dinamika Wacana dan Komunikasi dalam Konteks Politik dan Sosial

Richa Fionasari, Eko Saputra

UPT Bahasa UNRI, Universitas Bangka Belitung

*richa.fionasari@lecturer.unri.ac.id, echo.saputra84@gmail.com

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the relationship between language and power in political and social contexts, with a focus on the construction of reality and public perception. Key concepts such as language, power, construction of reality, public perception, and the role of political and social actors are discussed in depth. The research method is based on a systematic literature review by collecting data from various relevant international databases. The results of the discussion reveal that language is not only a means of communication, but also an instrument of power used to influence public opinion and maintain dominance in society. The implications of this research highlight the importance of understanding the complex relationship between language, power, and politics in modern societies for the development of more effective and inclusive policies. This study provides a strong foundation for further research in understanding the dynamics of language and power in contemporary politics and society.

Keywords: Language, Power, Construction of reality, Public perception, Political actors, Social actors, Relationship between language and power, Politics and Social

ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini menyelidiki hubungan antara bahasa dan kekuasaan dalam konteks politik dan sosial, dengan fokus pada konstruksi realitas dan persepsi publik. Konsep-konsep kunci seperti bahasa, kekuasaan, konstruksi realitas, persepsi publik, serta peran aktor politik dan sosial dibahas secara mendalam. Metode penelitian berdasarkan systematic literature review dengan mengumpulkan data dari berbagai database internasional yang terkait. Hasil pembahasan mengungkapkan bahwa bahasa bukan hanya sebagai alat komunikasi, tetapi juga sebagai instrumen kekuasaan yang digunakan untuk mempengaruhi opini publik dan mempertahankan dominasi dalam masyarakat. Implikasi penelitian ini menyoroti pentingnya memahami hubungan yang kompleks antara bahasa, kekuasaan, dan politik dalam masyarakat modern untuk pengembangan kebijakan yang lebih efektif dan inklusif. Studi ini memberikan landasan yang kuat untuk penelitian lanjutan dalam memahami dinamika bahasa dan kekuasaan dalam politik dan sosial kontemporer.

Kata Kunci: Bahasa, Kekuasaan, Konstruksi realitas, Persepsi publik, Aktor politik, Aktor sosial, Hubungan bahasa dan kekuasaan, Politik dan Sosial

1. Introduction

Political and social contexts are intricately intertwined with language and power dynamics, where discourse plays a pivotal role in shaping ideologies and influencing societal structures. Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) serves as a fundamental framework for understanding how language is utilized within these contexts to assert power (Alyeksyeyeva et al., 2021; Павліченко & Гаврилюк, 2022; Nasrullah, 2020). Through CDA, researchers delve into the ways language is employed in social settings, revealing its ties to power relations and ideological underpinnings (Kpeglo & Giddi, 2022; Dilaimy et al., 2022). This analytical approach allows for the exploration of how language structures meanings to convey and reinforce power dynamics within society (Dilaimy et al., 2022; Sobola, 2020).

Moreover, the manipulation of language in political discourse is a central theme in understanding power dynamics. Studies have focused on how political actors use language to exert influence and shape perceptions (Krstić, 2023; Saeed et al., 2020). By analyzing political speeches and texts, researchers uncover the strategic use of language to convey power and ideology (Saeed et al., 2020; Xiang, 2022). Language in political discourse is not merely a tool for communication but a mechanism through which power relations are negotiated and exercised (Saeed et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the relationship between language and power extends to media reporting and news dissemination. Critical Discourse Analysis has been applied to examine how media coverage reflects and influences political conflicts and ideologies (Aladdin & Alfathan, 2022). Language choices in media reporting are scrutinized to reveal underlying power structures and ideological biases (Aladdin & Alfathan, 2022; Halasa, 2019). Understanding the nuances of linguistic choices in media discourse provides insights into how power is constructed and maintained within societal narratives (Halasa, 2019).

In essence, the analysis of discourse in political and social contexts offers a profound understanding of how language is wielded as a tool for power and ideology. Through methodologies like Critical Discourse Analysis, researchers can unravel the intricate connections between language, power, and societal structures, shedding light on the mechanisms through which discourse shapes and reflects political and social realities.

Language and power have a close relationship in political and social contexts. Language is not only a means of communication, but also an instrument of power used to establish and maintain hierarchies and influence public opinion. This phenomenon is increasingly visible in the era of globalization and digitalization, where political and social actors use sophisticated communication strategies to strengthen their positions and influence people's views. The clever use of language and communication strategies by political and social actors is often a source of conflict and inequality in society. Therefore, a deep understanding of how language and communication influence the construction of reality and public perception is very important in this context.

Existing research gaps on this topic include a lack of comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of language and power in political and social contexts, especially in relation to the development of modern communication technologies. This research will fill this gap by conducting a comprehensive analysis of how political and social actors utilize language and communication strategies to gain and maintain power, as well as their impact on the construction of reality and public perception.

The main aim of this research is to investigate the role of language and communication strategies in political and social contexts, as well as their impact on the construction of reality and public perception. The research questions to be answered include how political and social actors use language and communication strategies to influence public opinion, how the construction of reality is shaped through the use of language, and how public perception is influenced by the communication strategies used.

The novelty of this research lies in the interdisciplinary approach used to analyze the relationship between language, power, and the construction of reality in a modern political and social context. This research also introduces a new concept in understanding the dynamics of political and social communication in the digital era, by exploring the influence of modern communication technology on the communication strategies of political and social actors.

This research is expected to make a significant contribution to academic knowledge about the relationship between language, power, and the construction of reality in political and social contexts. In addition, the results of this research can also provide valuable insight for practitioners in developing effective communication strategies, as well as increasing the wider community's understanding of the role of language in forming public opinion and perception.

2. Research Methods

This research collects data from international databases that have a proven reputation. Databases used include Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. These databases were chosen because they provide access to a wide range of scientific journals covering a wide range of scientific disciplines.

Article searches were carried out using a combination of keywords relevant to the research topic. The keywords used include terms such as "language", "power", "politics", "communication", and "social". The combination of keywords used is adjusted to ensure the relevance of the article to the specified research focus.

Searches in international databases yielded a significant number of articles. After applying inclusion and exclusion techniques based on established criteria, the number of articles filtered became a more controlled number. Although the exact numbers vary depending on the database used, they will be included in subsequent analysis.

Strict criteria were applied to select articles to be reviewed in this study. Included articles must meet the inclusion criteria, such as relevance to the research topic, publication in an accredited journal, and have a research methodology that can be accounted for. Conversely, articles that do not meet these criteria will be excluded from the analysis.

This research adopted the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses) method in conducting a systematic literature review. The PRISMA steps that will be followed include identification, screening, data collection, and data synthesis from relevant articles. This method provides a systematic and transparent framework for conducting a comprehensive and objective literature review.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Key concepts: Define and explain important concepts such as language, power, construction of reality, public perception, political actors, and social actors.

Language, power, the construction of reality, public perception, political actors, and social actors are interconnected concepts that play crucial roles in shaping societies. Language is not merely a means of communication but a tool that holds power (Lacina & Griffith, 2021). The use of language can influence ideologies and social power dynamics, impacting how individuals perceive reality and shaping public perception (Risadi et al., 2021). Through language, individuals, whether political or social actors, can exert power and maintain dominance in society (Kramsch, 2020). The language of power is not neutral but rather a strategic tool used to influence and control (Parashar et al., 2020).

Political actors, such as legislators, utilize the power of language to shape discourse and influence policies (Al-Mahroos & Braccio, 2022). Similarly, social actors, like therapists, demonstrate how language can be a powerful tool in various contexts, such as the therapy room (Truthe, 2023). The power dynamics embedded in language are evident in educational settings, where teachers' language use reflects their power and authority (Wei & Sandaran, 2023). Moreover, the impact of language extends to digital realms, as seen in the analysis of language on social media platforms like Facebook (Heimann & Hübener, 2023).

The construction of reality is intricately linked to language, as the terminology and discourse used can shape how individuals perceive and interpret the world around them (Maryadi et al., 2022). Language ideologies play a significant role in influencing the experiences of individuals, such as international students (Metz, 2022). Moreover, the power of language extends beyond individual interactions to societal structures, impacting equity in educational settings (Elmabruk & Etarhuni, 2023).

In conclusion, language is a powerful tool that influences power dynamics, constructs reality, shapes public perception, and empowers both political and social actors in various contexts. Understanding the intricate relationship between language, power, and social

dynamics is essential for comprehending how societies function and how individuals navigate within them.

The concepts of language, power, construction of reality, public perception, political actors, and social actors are crucial in understanding the dynamics of modern political and social contexts. Language serves not only as a means of communication but also shapes cultural and social identities (Chinn et al., 2020). Power, on the other hand, influences and controls the actions and thoughts of individuals, often manifested through language and communication strategies (Chinn et al., 2020). Reality construction is a process where culture, language, and social experiences shape an individual's perception of the world, which can be influenced by power and language (Chinn et al., 2020). Public perception, influenced by factors like mass media and political language, reflects how individuals interpret and respond to societal information and events (Chinn et al., 2020).

Political actors, such as leaders and parties, play a significant role in shaping public policy and influencing societal dynamics (Chinn et al., 2020). Similarly, social actors, including activists and advocacy groups, have the potential to influence public opinion and the political agenda through their participation and advocacy efforts (Chinn et al., 2020). Understanding these concepts deeply allows for an exploration of the intricate interplay between language, power, reality construction, public perception, political actors, and social actors in contemporary political and social settings.

By delving into these concepts, researchers can uncover how language and power dynamics influence the construction of reality, shape public perception, and impact the roles of political and social actors in society. This comprehensive understanding is essential for analyzing the complexities of public opinion formation, policy-making processes, and the interactions between various societal stakeholders in the ever-evolving landscape of politics and social issues.

3.2. Theories and frameworks: Discuss theories and frameworks relevant to research on language and power in political and social contexts.

Research on language and power in political and social contexts draws on various theories and frameworks to understand the intricate relationships between language, power dynamics, and societal structures. Scholars like Gumperz, Hymes, and Fairclough have contributed significantly to this field. Gumperz and Hymes' sociolinguistic theories delve into how language choices reflect identities and cultural nuances in multilingual settings (Yusra, 2022). Fairclough's critical discourse analysis framework is instrumental in uncovering power dynamics embedded in language use, especially in political discourse (Martin-Thomsen et al., 2021).

Moreover, the intersection of language and power is evident in multinational workplaces, where language plays a crucial role in negotiating power dynamics (Kim & Angouri, 2022). Understanding language as a tool of power is essential in analyzing political speeches, as seen in studies on the language of political ideologies and discourse (Abysova*, 2019). Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA) emerges as a key theoretical framework in examining power relations in social contexts, particularly in political communication.

Theoretical perspectives like systemic functional grammar and poststructuralist views shed light on the relationship between language, power, and society (GÜZEL, 2022; Khan et al., 2021). These frameworks emphasize how language choices reflect power structures and societal ideologies. Additionally, the Theoretical Domains Framework is commonly used to explore how intersecting social identities and structures of power influence behavior (Etherington et al., 2020). Vygotskian social constructivist theory provides insights into how social media can enhance language learning experiences (Ahmad et al., 2022).

In essence, research on language and power in political and social contexts is enriched

by a diverse array of theories and frameworks that illuminate the complex interplay between language, power, and societal dynamics. By drawing on these theoretical foundations, scholars can unravel the nuanced ways in which language shapes and reflects power relations in various contexts.

Critical Theory offers a foundational perspective for examining the intricate interplay between language, power, and society. This theory explores how language is utilized by dominant entities to perpetuate social disparities and uphold existing power structures (Mahmud, 2019). By analyzing the structural and ideological dimensions of language use, Critical Theory sheds light on how political elites leverage language to maintain the status quo while also acknowledging the potential of language as a catalyst for societal transformation and emancipation.

In parallel, Relevance Theory within Pragmatics provides valuable insights into the strategic deployment of language in political and social realms to achieve specific communicative objectives efficiently and effectively (Cruz & Piskorska, 2021). Understanding the concept of relevance in communication reveals the tactics employed by political actors to shape public opinion and consolidate their power base through linguistic means. By discerning the relevance of language in political discourse, researchers can decode the communication strategies used to influence perceptions and maintain authority.

Complementing these theoretical underpinnings, discourse analysis emerges as a pivotal framework for investigating the intricate ways language is harnessed in political and social contexts to construct narratives and sway public viewpoints (Павліченко & Гаврилюк, 2022). Through a meticulous examination of discourse structures, styles, and strategies, this framework unveils how power dynamics are perpetuated and reinforced through linguistic maneuvers by various societal actors. By integrating Critical Theory, Relevance Theory, and discourse analysis, researchers can construct a robust analytical approach to unravel the complexities inherent in the nexus of language, power, and politics in contemporary society.

4. Conclusion

From the results of the discussion that has been presented, it can be concluded that key concepts such as language, power, construction of reality, public perception, political actors and social actors have an important role in shaping political and social dynamics in modern society. Language is not only a means of communication, but also an instrument of power used to influence public opinion and maintain dominance in society. This research highlights the importance of understanding how language is used by political and social actors to shape narratives, influence public perceptions, and maintain their power in various contexts.

The use of language by political and social actors is not neutral, but is a strategic tool used to influence and control. Additionally, the construction of reality and public perception are influenced by language and power, which can shape the way individuals understand and interpret the world around them. Political and social actors have a significant role in shaping public policy and influencing societal dynamics, with the use of language as one of their main instruments.

However, this study has certain limitations that need to be acknowledged. One is limitations in the amount and scope of available literature, which may influence the overall picture provided in this analysis. In addition, there is potential bias in the selection of literature that could influence the interpretation of research results. Therefore, this research provides a strong foundation for further research that can broaden the scope and deepen understanding of the relationship between language, power, and politics in modern society.

The implication of this research is the importance of considering the role of language and power in various aspects of social and political life. A better understanding of how language is used to influence public opinion and maintain power can help in the development of more effective and inclusive policies. Apart from that, this research also highlights the

importance of media and language literacy for people in interpreting the information they receive.

For future research, it is recommended to develop a more integrated and comprehensive analytical approach to understand the relationship between language, power and politics in more complex contexts. In addition, further research could expand the scope to involve more in-depth empirical analysis, as well as involve more advanced theoretical frameworks to understand more complex dynamics in the use of language and power in politics and society. As such, this research makes a valuable contribution to our understanding of the role of language in shaping modern politics and society.

5. References

- Abysova*, M. (2019). Political ideologies language from the perspective of modern western society. *International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering*, 9(1), 2662-2668. <https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.I3395.119119>
- Ahmad, A., Kumar, G., Ranjan, R., & Philominraj, A. (2022). On the role of social media in spanish language learning in indian context. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Ijet)*, 17(22), 100-115. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i22.31381>
- Aladdin, Y. and Alfathan, A. (2022). Media reporting of the political conflict in the democratic party (teun a. van dijk's critical discourse analysis on jpnn.com news). *Proceedings of International Conference on Communication Science*, 2(1), 293-302. <https://doi.org/10.29303/iccsproceeding.v2i1.128>
- Al-Mahroos, Z. and Braccio, M. (2022). Language as power in the therapy room: a study of bilingual (arabic-english) therapists' experiences. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 24(1), 106-118. <https://doi.org/10.1002/capr.12608>
- Alyeksyeyeva, I., Kaptiurova, O., & Орлова, B. (2021). World war flu: war rhetoric of the australian prime minister on coronavirus. *31 the Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 27(1), 90-101. <https://doi.org/10.17576/31-2021-2701-07>
- Chinn, S., Hart, P., & Soroka, S. (2020). Politicization and polarization in climate change news content, 1985-2017. *Science Communication*, 42(1), 112-129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547019900290>
- Cruz, M. and Piskorska, A. (2021). New developments in relevance theory. *Pragmatics & Cognition*, 28(2), 223-227. <https://doi.org/10.1075/pc.00024.int>
- Dilaimy, H., Al-Rawe, M., & Suleiman, S. (2022). Donald trump's aggressive and offensive language before and after his inauguration speeches: a critical discourse analysis. *International Journal of Linguistics and Translation Studies*, 3(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.36892/ijlts.v3i1.200>
- Elmabruk, R. and Etarhuni, N. (2023). Teacher power and gender in libyan language teacher education.. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.110578>
- Etherington, N., Rodrigues, I., Giangregorio, L., Graham, I., Hoens, A., Kasperavicius, D., ... & Straus, S. (2020). Applying an intersectionality lens to the theoretical domains framework: a tool for thinking about how intersecting social identities and structures of power influence behaviour.. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.2.23235/v2>
- GÜZEL, S. (2022). Yabancı dil olarak ingilizce öğretiminde ve öğreniminde frankurt okulunun ve hallidayan dil ve söylem modelinin rolü. *The Journal of Social Sciences*, 60(60), 208-222. <https://doi.org/10.29228/sobider.64952>
- Halasa, I. (2019). Political and ideological "otherness" through linguistic choices. *Transcarpathian Philological Studies*, 10(2), 70-73. <https://doi.org/10.32782/tps2663-4880/2019.10-2.14>
- Heimann, M. and Hübener, A. (2023). Ai as social actor. *Journal of Digital Social Research*, 5(1), 48-69. <https://doi.org/10.33621/jdsr.v5i1.159>
- Khan, I., Rahman, G., & Hamid, A. (2021). Poststructuralist perspectives on language and identity: implications for english language teaching research in pakistan. *Sir Syed Journal of Education & Social Research (Sjesr)*, 4(1), 257-267.

- [https://doi.org/10.36902/sjesr-vol4-iss1-2021\(257-267\)](https://doi.org/10.36902/sjesr-vol4-iss1-2021(257-267))
- Kim, K. and Angouri, J. (2022). "it's hard for them to even understand what we are saying"(. language and power in the multinational workplace. *Critical Perspectives on International Business*, 19(1), 27-45. <https://doi.org/10.1108/cpoib-06-2020-0084>
- Kpeglo, S. and Giddi, E. (2022). Ideological positioning in presidential inaugural addresses: a comparative critical discourse analysis of president agyekeum kufour and president john evans ata mills. *Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities*, 2(5), 92-103. <https://doi.org/10.55544/ijrah.2.5.15>
- Kramsch, C. (2020). Language as symbolic power.. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108869386>
- Krstić, A. (2023). Govorni činovi kao primer manipulatorne moći reči u govoru aleksandra vučića., 219-232. <https://doi.org/10.46630/jkm.2023.13>
- Lacina, J. and Griffith, R. (2021). The power of language. *The Reading Teacher*, 74(5), 481-482. <https://doi.org/10.1002/trtr.1996>
- Mahmud, M. (2019). The use of politeness strategies in the classroom context by english university students. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(3), 597. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v8i3.15258>
- Martin-Thomsen, T., Scagnetti, G., McPhee, S., Akenson, A., & Hagerman, D. (2021). The scholarship of critique and power. *Teaching & Learning Inquiry the Issotl Journal*, 9(1), 279-293. <https://doi.org/10.20343/teachlearninqu.9.1.19>
- Maryadi, A., Mahmudah, M., & Mayong, M. (2022). The use of power language of south sulawesi women legislators in political discourse through vocabulary features: a case study of the chairperson of the regional house of representatives of south sulawesi. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, 3(3), 143-154. <https://doi.org/10.47616/jamrsss.v3i3.316>
- Metz, M. (2022). Applying a critical language lens: analyzing language use in everyday video texts. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 65(5), 409-417. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jaal.1220>
- Parashar, R., Gawde, N., Gupta, A., & Gilson, L. (2020). Unpacking the implementation blackbox using 'actor interface analysis': how did actor relations and practices of power influence delivery of a free entitlement health policy in india?. *Health Policy and Planning*, 35(Supplement_2), ii74-ii83. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czaa125>
- Risadi, M., Ariputra, P., Premananda, N., & Nuriawan, I. (2021). Critical discourse analysis of susilo bambang yudhoyono's speech. *International Journal of Linguistics and Discourse Analytics (Ijolida)*, 2(2), 17-28. <https://doi.org/10.52232/ijolida.v2i2.37>
- Saeed, U., Aslam, M., Khan, A., Khan, M., Atiq, M., & Bhatti, H. (2020). Rhetorical and persuasive strategies employed by imran khan in his victory speech: a socio-political discourse analysis. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 10(2), 349. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v10n2p349>
- Sobola, E. (2020). Cognition of metaphorical text in president muhammadu buhari's inaugural speech. *Journal of Universal Language*, 21(2), 111-145. <https://doi.org/10.22425/jul.2020.21.2.111>
- Truthe, B. (2023). Merging two hierarchies of internal contextual grammars with subregular selection. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, 388, 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.4204/eptcs.388.12>
- Wei, X. and Sandaran, S. (2023). A critical discourse analysis on power relationships in the classroom: the case of the school that turned chinese. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v13-i1/16204>
- Xiang, Q. (2022). Transitivity analysis of joe biden's inaugural address from the perspective of systemic functional grammar. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, 12(1), 165-169. <https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.1201.20>
- Yusra, K. (2022). Switching languages for political fear, power and anger in digitally-surveillanced multilingual facebook interaction in indonesia. *Linguistik Indonesia*, 40(2), 179-196. <https://doi.org/10.26499/li.v40i2.345>

